

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,876	•	07/31/2003	Jes Tougaard Gram	CU-3619	1808
26530	7590	03/28/2006		EXAMINER	
LADAS &			ORTIZ, ANGELA Y		
224 SOUTH SUITE 1600		AN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 6060)4	1732		

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•													
	Application No.	Applicant(s)											
	10/631,876	GRAM, JES TOUGAARD											
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit											
	Angela Ortiz	1732											
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).											
Status													
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju	ly 2003.												
	'												
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	<u>'=</u>												
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.											
Disposition of Claims													
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.													
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.													
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.													
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.													
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.													
8) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.												
Application Papers													
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•												
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.													
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).													
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	•	•											
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119													
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:													
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/380,006. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage													
							application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
							* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	. •												
	•												
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 Intoniani Cimana	(PTO 412)											
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) Lilinterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate											
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)											

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3, drawn to a process, classified in class 264, subclass 255.
- II. Claims 4-10, drawn to a machine, classified in class 425, subclass 134.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as a sandwich-mold or stack mold; or one that does not rotate or turn, as the method does not claim rotating or turning.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-1206. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,876 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela Ortiz
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1732